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**WHC/Community Relevance:**
- The proposed research advances knowledge and understanding of issues that are important to, or priorities of, WHC.
- The researcher makes a convincing argument that the research will result in findings that will be useful to the community or population being studied, or will improve healthcare practices and outcomes at WHC.
- WCCHC staff and/or community members have been involved in planning the proposed project.

**Is the proposed research relevant?** ☐ Yes ☐ No – Recommendations to address relevance:

**Feasibility**
- Resources proposed are sufficient to allow for all of the activities to be carried out without putting an undue financial burden on WHC.
- Proposed activities provide direct benefits/services to WHC, and staffing/resources will be provided in-kind as documented.
- WHC has the physical space for staff, equipment, and proposed activities.
- There is WHC staff able/willing to participate with/support the research project.
- The population meeting eligibility criteria is large enough to ensure adequate recruitment.
- Proposed recruitment strategies are appropriate for the targeted population and community in which they reside.

**Is the proposed research feasible?** ☐ Yes ☐ No – Recommendations to address feasibility:

**Quality/Design**
- The proposed activity suggests and explores creative and original concepts.
- The literature review reflects extensive and current research on the topic.
- The researcher has identified the gaps in current knowledge to be addressed by proposed research and the proposed research adequately addresses those gaps.
- On a scale of 1-10 (1=none; 10 = expert) how knowledgeable are you in the content area of this research?
  - ☐ Yes ☐ No

**Is the proposed research design appropriate based on existing literature and gaps presented?** ☐ Yes ☐ No – Recommendations to address quality/design:

**Ethical Issues**
- The study design and tools adequately protect participants.
- The benefits to participants are appropriate and clearly defined.
The informed consent mechanism (tool and method of delivery) adequately protects participants.

The ethical, HIPAA and data use standards are met.

**Does the proposed research protect participants?** □ Yes □ No – Recommendations to address ethical issues:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community Based Participatory Research (CBPR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Community involvement:</strong> There is a description of community/WHC role in research partnership from planning through dissemination; organizational structure, decision-making and conflict management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Community benefit:</strong> There is a description of any benefits such as a) training, employment opportunities for community/WHC staff; b) enhanced clinical care/procedures; c) increased health education; d) increased research skills for community/WHC staff, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dissemination:</strong> There is a description of how findings will be shared with WHC and community/target population, including how the community/WHC will be involved in the dissemination.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Publication:</strong> There is a process for including WHC staff/community in reviewing manuscripts/presentations prior to publication/presentation, and a plan for translating findings to practice where applicable.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Does the proposed research meet CBPR standards?** □ Yes □ No

□ Approved □ Approved with Revisions □ Not Approved

Other Comments:
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